The Role of Christian Latin in the Development of Romance Vocabulary: A Lexical Study of the *Peregrinatio Egeriae*

Christian Latin

SCHOLARSHIP STATUS

- Previous scholarship supported the idea of a Christian Sondersprache (see esp. Schrijnen and Mohrmann)
- More recently, this idea was rejected (see esp. Coleman 1987)
- The vocabulary recurring in Christian Latin texts is one of the few linguistic features we can securely treat as Christian

Burton (2008, 2011)

Christian Latin

SOME LEXICAL PHENOMENA

- Greek influence:
 - Loanwords, e.g. baptizō < Gk. βαπτίζω, angelus < Gk. ἄγγελος
 - Loan translations, e.g. glōrificō < Gk. δοξάζω
 - Semantic extension, e.g. virtūs (cf. Gk. δύναμις)
- Other:
 - Taboo avoidance (?), e.g. ōrātiō in place of precēs

Burton (2008, 2011)

Research Question

CHRISTIAN LATIN AND THE ROMANCE LANGUAGES

- More generally: can one measure the extent to which Christian Latin had a role in the development of the Romance Languages?
- Reformulated: is it possible to determine the extent to which specifically Christian lexical items played a role in the development of the lexicon of the Romance languages?
- See e.g. the cases of *parabola* and *parabolāre*, which substituted such basic Classical items as *verbum* and *loguī*

REASONS BEHIND THE CHOICE

- Extensively studied previously (e.g. Löfstedt 1911, van Oorde 1963, Maraval 1982, Väänänen 1987, etc.)
- Considerable length despite missing beginning and end
- Author's profile hopefully guarantees avoidance of "correct" Classical vocabulary
- Relatively early date among texts of its kind (late 4th cent. AD)

USUAL TREATMENT VS MY FOCUS

- Linguists and philologists have historically focused on:
 - Author's provenance issue (looking for hispanisms or gallicisms)
 - Late and vulgar features such as:
 - (probable) uses of ille and ipse as definite articles
 - use of habeō + infinitive
 - use of quod, quia, and quoniam
 - use of prepositions and the cases they take

USUAL TREATMENT VS MY FOCUS

- Vocabulary also treated, but no one has compiled a corpus of Christian lexemes specifically to collect evidence of impact on Romance languages
- My aim:
 - Collect and analyse Christian lexemes
 - See which end up surviving in the Romance languages
 - Get better understanding of general rationale behind impact

FRAMING THE TEST

- Only some open-class categories analysed: nouns, verbs, and adjectives
- Classification:
 - Lexical replacement: limited to borrowings
 - Semantic shift: limited to pre-Christian vocabulary
- Borrowings not relevant to lexical replacement not treated

LEXICAL REPLACEMENT: EREMUS

- Borrowing from Greek ἔρημος / ἐρῆμος
- heremus in the text (spelling reported elsewhere)
- Clearly from Greek scriptures: earliest attestations in Tertullian and Cyprian (quoting the Gospel of John)
- 8 occurrences: 6 referring to the literal desert crossed by the Israelites after leaving Egypt, 2 referring to the metaphorical desert / isolation of ascetics (conforming to TLL predictions)

LEXICAL REPLACEMENT: EREMUS

- (Admittedly) restricted use, but heremus is the most common word among those in the same semantic sphere:
 - dēsertum x1 accompanied by Faran toponym
 - *dēserta loca* x1, describing the same desert as *heremus*
 - sōlitūdō x1, describing the state of ascetic men
- Romance descent: Sp. yermo, Por. ermo, Cat. erm, Occ. èrm, It. ermo and eremo, etc. either adjectives, nouns, or both (heremus just noun in text)

SEMANTIC SHIFT: COMMŪNICĀRE

- 8 occurrences, always meaning 'to receive the Holy Communion'
- Classical Latin meaning(s):
 - 'to share / take a share in (something with someone)'
 - 'to impart / communicate (information or knowledge)'
 - 'to discuss (something) together with (someone)'
- Use in *Peregrinatio*: narrowing of the 'to take a share in (something with someone)' meaning?

SEMANTIC SHIFT: COMMŪNICĀRE

- TLL entry:
 - In Classical Latin this verb is only transitive
 - Starting with Tertullian we also get an intransitive use (meaning approximately 'to participate')
- Intransitive commūnicāre: a case of semantic extension?

SEMANTIC SHIFT: COMMŪNICĀRE

- In the Vetus Latina, intransitive commūnicāre is used to translate:
 - κοινωνέω (e.g. Romans 12:13, 1 Timothy 5:22, 1 Peter 4:13)
 - συγκοινωνέω (e.g. Ephesians 5:11, Philippians 4:14, Revelation 18:4)
 - μετέχω (e.g. 1 Corithians 10:21)
 - All used intransitively in the cases that I checked

SEMANTIC SHIFT: COMMŪNICĀRE

- Use in *Peregrinatio* (reassessment): commūnicāre also intransitive here more correct to consider it a narrowing of the use found starting in Tertullian (i.e. 'to participate' > 'to participate in the Holy Communion' > 'to receive the Holy communion')?
- Romance descent: Rom. *cumineca*, Sp. *comulgar*, Por. *comungar*, Cat. *combregar*, etc. Rom., Sp., and Por. also borrow Latin *commūnicāre* in the meaning 'to communicate' –Rom. *comunica*, Sp. and Por. *comunicar*.

SEMANTIC SHIFT: MEMORIA

- 12 occurrences, either meaning 'memorial', or (more often) 'tomb'
- Väänänen (1987:139): semantic extension on the model of Gk. μνήμα
- μνῆμα indeed used with both meanings in biblical texts
- TLL entry: both meanings common in Christian writing
- memoria is the most common word among those in the same semantic sphere:

SEMANTIC SHIFT: MEMORIA

- tumba x1 meaning 'tomb'
- sepulcrum x1 meaning 'tomb'
- no synonyms for the 'memorial' meaning
- Romance descendants often inherit both the Classical 'memory',
 'remembrance' meaning, and the Christian 'memorial' meaning (e.g. It.
 memoria, Sp. memoria, and Por. memória) but not the 'tomb' meaning

Conclusions

- Confirmed tendency for Christian lexemes to be inherited by Romance languages (as expected):
 - Some lexemes still retain a Christian meaning in some Romance languages (e.g. commūnicāre)
 - Some others have mostly lost it (e.g. eremus)
- This kind of study still makes it possible to come up with interesting new material, and will eventually give a better understanding of the reasons behind the impact of Christian Latin on the Romance languages

Essential bibliography

Blackman, Deane R. and Gavin G. Betts. 1989. Concordantia in Itinerarium Egeriae. Hildesheim: Olms-Weidmann

Burton, Philip H. 2008. "On Revisiting the Christian Latin Sondersprache Hypothesis." In David C. Parker (ed.) *Textual Variation: Theological and Social Tendencies?: Papers from the Fifth Birmingham Colloquium on the Textual Criticism of the New* Testament, 149-172. Piscataway, NJ: Gorgias Press

Burton, Philip H. 2011. "Christian Latin." In James Clackson (ed.) A Companion to the Latin Language, 485-501. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell

Coleman, Robert. 1987. "Vulgar Latin and the diversity of Christian Latin." In József Hermann (ed.) Latin vulgaire – Latin tardif: Actes du 1er Colloque International sur le latin vulgaire et tardif, Pécs, 2-5 septembre 1985, 37–52. Tübringen: Niemeyer

Franceschini, Ezio, and Robert Weber. 1965. "Itinerarium Egeriae." In Paul Geyer et al. (eds.) *Itineraria et alia geographica*, 29–106. Corpus Christianorum Series Latina 175. Turnhout: Brepols

Löfstedt, Einar. 1911. Philologischer Kommentar zur Peregrinatio. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der lateinischen Sprache. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell

Löfstedt, Einar. 1959. Late Latin. Oslo: H. Aschehoug

Meyer-Lübke, Wilhelm. 1935. Romanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. Heidelberg: C. Winter

OLD = Oxford Latin Dictionary

van Oorde, Willem. 1963. Lexicon Aetherianum. Hildesheim: Georg Olms

TLL = Thesaurus Linguae Latinae

Väänänen, Veikko. 1987. Le journal épître d'Égérie. Étude linguistique. Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia

Thank You

September 9, 2022 19